

**Persistence simplification of discrete Morse functions on surfaces  
(Oberwolfach report)**

ULRICH BAUER, CARSTEN LANGE AND MAX WARDETZKY

1. INTRODUCTION

We apply the concept of persistent homology [1] to Forman's discrete Morse theory [2] on regular 2-manifold CW complexes and solve the problem of minimizing the number of critical points among all functions within a prescribed distance  $\delta$  from a given input function. Our result achieves a lower bound on the number of critical points and improves on previous work [3] by a factor of two.

2. DISCRETE MORSE THEORY

Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a finite CW complex and  $K$  the set of cells of  $\mathcal{K}$ . The cell  $\sigma$  is a *face* of  $\tau$ , denoted by  $\sigma < \tau$ , if  $\sigma$  is in the boundary of  $\tau$ . *Facets* are faces of codimension 1. If the attaching maps are homeomorphisms,  $\mathcal{K}$  is called a *regular complex*. A *combinatorial surface* is a regular CW complex whose underlying space is a 2-manifold.

Discrete vector fields are one of the central concepts of discrete Morse theory. They are a purely combinatorial analogon of classical vector fields.

**Definition** (Discrete vector field). *A discrete vector field  $V$  on a regular CW complex  $\mathcal{K}$  is a set of pairs of cells  $(\sigma, \tau) \in K \times K$ , with  $\sigma$  a facet of  $\tau$ , such that each cell of  $K$  is contained in at most one pair of  $V$ .*

**Definition** ( $V$ -path). *Let  $V$  be a discrete vector field. A  $V$ -path  $\Gamma$  from a cell  $\sigma_0$  to a cell  $\sigma_r$  is a sequence  $\sigma_0\tau_0\sigma_1 \dots \tau_{r-1}\sigma_r$  of cells such that for every  $0 \leq i \leq r-1$ :*

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_i \text{ is a facet of } \tau_i \quad \text{and} \quad (\sigma_i, \tau_i) \in V, \\ \sigma_{i+1} \text{ is a facet of } \tau_i \quad \text{and} \quad (\sigma_{i+1}, \tau_i) \notin V. \end{aligned}$$

*A  $V$ -path is a nontrivial closed path if  $\sigma_0 = \sigma_r$  and  $r > 0$ .*

**Definition** (Discrete gradient vector field). *A gradient vector field is a discrete vector field  $V$  that does not admit any nontrivial closed  $V$ -paths.*

**Definition** (Critical cell). *A cell  $\sigma$  is a critical cell with respect to a discrete gradient vector field  $V$  if  $\sigma$  is not contained in any pair of  $V$ . A cell that is not critical is a regular cell.*

The main technique for reducing the number of critical points is that of *reversing* a gradient vector field  $V$  along a  $V$ -path between two critical cells  $\tau$  and  $\sigma$ :

**Theorem** ([2], Theorem 11.1). *Let  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  be critical cells of a gradient vector field  $V$  with a unique  $V$ -path  $\Gamma$  from  $\partial\tau$  to  $\sigma$ . Then there is a gradient vector field  $\tilde{V}$  obtained by reversing  $V$  along the path  $\Gamma$ . The critical cells of  $\tilde{V}$  are exactly the critical cells of  $V$  other than  $\{\sigma, \tau\}$ . In particular,  $V = \tilde{V}$  except along the path  $\Gamma$ .*

As in smooth Morse theory, a discrete gradient vector field can be understood as the gradient of some function in the following sense:

**Definition** ((Pseudo-)Morse function). *A discrete Morse function is a function  $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  on the cells of a regular CW complex  $K$  if there is a gradient vector field  $V$  such that for all pairs of cells we have*

$$\sigma \text{ is a facet of } \tau \Rightarrow \begin{cases} f(\sigma) < f(\tau) & \text{if } (\sigma, \tau) \notin V, \\ f(\sigma) \geq f(\tau) & \text{if } (\sigma, \tau) \in V. \end{cases}$$

For a discrete pseudo-Morse function, the strict inequality is replaced by a weak one, i.e.,  $f(\sigma) \leq f(\tau)$  if  $(\sigma, \tau) \notin V$ . In either case, we call  $V$  consistent with  $f$ .

**Definition** (Induced partial order). *The partial order  $\prec_V$  induced by a discrete gradient vector field  $V$  is the transitive relation generated by*

$$\sigma \text{ is a facet of } \tau \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \sigma \prec_V \tau & \text{if } (\sigma, \tau) \notin V, \\ \sigma \succ_V \tau & \text{if } (\sigma, \tau) \in V. \end{cases}$$

For any pseudo-Morse function  $g$  consistent with  $V$  and any pair of cells  $(\phi, \rho)$ ,  $\phi \prec_V \rho$  implies  $g(\phi) \leq g(\rho)$ .

### 3. PERSISTENT MORSE HOMOLOGY

Homological persistence [1] is used to investigate the change of the homology groups in a sequence of nested topological spaces. We study nested subcomplexes of a given CW complex.

**Definition** (Level subcomplex). *Let  $f$  be a discrete Morse function on a regular CW complex  $K$ . For a cell  $\sigma \in K$ , the level subcomplex is the subcomplex of  $K$  consisting of all cells  $\rho$  with  $f(\rho) \leq f(\sigma)$  together with their faces:*

$$\mathcal{K}(\sigma) := \bigcup_{\substack{\rho \in K \\ f(\rho) \leq f(\sigma)}} \bigcup_{\substack{\phi \in K \\ \phi \leq \rho}} \phi.$$

For  $\mathcal{K}(\phi) \subset \mathcal{K}(\rho)$ , let  $i_*^{\phi, \rho} : H_*(\mathcal{K}(\phi)) \rightarrow H_*(\mathcal{K}(\rho))$  denote the homomorphism induced by inclusion. Let  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  be critical cells of dimension  $d$  and  $(d+1)$ , respectively, such that  $f(\sigma) < f(\tau)$ . The predecessor of  $\sigma$  is the cell  $\sigma_-$  with the largest  $f$ -value such that  $f(\sigma_-) < f(\sigma)$ , and similarly for  $\tau_-$ . Now consider the sequence

$$H_d(\mathcal{K}(\sigma_-)) \rightarrow H_d(\mathcal{K}(\sigma)) \rightarrow H_d(\mathcal{K}(\tau_-)) \rightarrow H_d(\mathcal{K}(\tau))$$

induced by inclusion.

**Definition** (Birth, death, persistence pair). *Let  $f$  be an injective Morse function on a regular CW complex. We say that a class  $h \in H_*(\mathcal{K}(\sigma))$  is born at (or created by)  $\sigma$  if*

$$h \notin \text{im}(i_*^{\sigma_-, \sigma}).$$

Moreover, we say that a class  $h \in H_*(\mathcal{K}(\sigma))$  that is born at  $\sigma$  dies entering (or gets merged by)  $\tau$  if

$$i_d^{\sigma, \tau}(h) \in \text{im}(i_*^{\sigma-, \tau}) \quad \text{but} \quad i_d^{\sigma, \tau-}(h) \notin \text{im}(i_*^{\sigma-, \tau-}).$$

If there exists a class  $h$  that is born at  $\sigma$  and dies entering  $\tau$ , then  $(\sigma, \tau)$  is a persistence pair. The difference  $f(\tau) - f(\sigma)$  is called the persistence of  $(\sigma, \tau)$ .

To uniquely define persistence pairs for a *pseudo-Morse* function  $f$  consistent with some gradient vector field  $V$ , we require a total order on the cells. This can be achieved by extending the partial order  $\prec_V$  to a total order, which allows us to speak about persistence pairs of  $(f, V)$ .

#### 4. TOPOLOGICAL SIMPLIFICATION OF FUNCTIONS

From now on, let  $f$  be a pseudo-Morse function consistent with a discrete gradient vector field  $V$  on a combinatorial surface  $\mathcal{K}$ . From the stability theorem for persistence diagrams [4], we can deduce the following lower bound on the number of persistence pairs, and therefore on the number of critical points:

**Lemma.** *For a pseudo-Morse function  $f_\delta$  with  $\|f_\delta - f\|_\infty < \delta$  and consistent with a gradient vector field  $V_\delta$ , the number of persistence pairs of  $(f_\delta, V_\delta)$  is bounded from below by the number of persistence pairs of  $f$  with persistence  $\geq 2\delta$ .*

We are interested in functions that achieve this lower bound:

**Definition** (Perfect  $\delta$ -simplification). *A perfect  $\delta$ -simplification of  $(f, V)$  is a pseudo-Morse function  $f_\delta$  consistent with a gradient vector field  $V_\delta$ , such that  $\|f_\delta - f\|_\infty < \delta$  and the number of persistence pairs of  $(f_\delta, V_\delta)$  is equal to the number of persistence pairs of  $f$  with persistence  $\geq 2\delta$ .*

Our main result states that a perfect  $\delta$ -simplification always exists for a discrete pseudo-Morse function on a combinatorial surface.

**Theorem.** *Let  $f$  be a discrete pseudo-Morse function on a combinatorial surface. Then there exists a perfect  $\delta$ -simplification of  $f$ .*

The proof of this theorem is constructive. An analogous statement is not true in higher dimensions or for non-manifold complexes.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] H. Edelsbrunner, D. Letscher, and A. Zomorodian. [Topological persistence and simplification](#). *Discrete and Computational Geometry*, 28(4):511–533, 2002.
- [2] R. Forman. [Morse theory for cell complexes](#). *Advances in Mathematics*, 134(1):90–145, 1998.
- [3] H. Edelsbrunner, D. Morozov, and V. Pascucci. [Persistence-sensitive simplification of functions on 2-manifolds](#). In *SCG '06: Proceedings of the 22nd ACM Symposium on Computational Geometry*, pages 127–134. ACM, 2006.
- [4] D. Cohen-Steiner, H. Edelsbrunner, and J. Harer. [Stability of persistence diagrams](#). *Discrete and Computational Geometry*, 37(1):103–120, 2007.